EFFECTIVENESS OF REFLECTIVE- RECIPROCAL TEACHING ON PRE-SERVICE TEACHERS' ACHIEVEMENT AND SCIENCE PROCESS SKILLS IN INTEGRATED SCIENCE

Dr.Aminat Aderonke AGORO

Department of Integrated Science, School of Science, Emmanuel Alayande College of Education, Oyo Phone +2348030645867 E-mail: ronkeagoro@yahoo.com

Professor. M.K.AKINSOLA

Department of Teacher Education, Faculty of Education, University of Ibadan, Ibadan.

E-mail: <u>mk.akinsola@mail.ui.edu.ng</u> or <u>akinsolamk@gmail.com</u> Phone: +2348034053352 All correspondence to the 2nd author.

DIFFERENTIAL EFFECTIVENESS OF REFLECTIVE- RECIPROCAL TEACHING AND REFLECTIVE-RECIPROCAL PEER TUTORING ON PRE-SERVICE TEACHERS' ACHIEVEMENT AND SCIENCE PROCESS SKILLS IN INTEGRATED SCIENCE

ABSTRACT

Low level of achievement of pre-service teachers in Integrated Science at Colleges of Educations, led to the conceptualization of this research. Reflective-reciprocal teaching and reflective-reciprocal peer tutoring strategies have not been given much attention at college of education level where teachers are been trained for primary school grades. This study, therefore, investigates differential effectiveness of reflective-reciprocal teaching and reflective-reciprocal peer tutoring on pre-service teachers' achievement and science process skills in integrated science.

The pretest- posttest, control groups, quasi experimental design with a 3x2x3 factorial matrix was used. Two hundred and ninety-four (294) pre-service science teachers with high, medium and low numerical ability constituted the sample. Six instruments used were: Pre-service Teachers Achievement Test (α = 0.85); Pre-Service Teacher Science Process Skills Rating Scale (Scott's π), Pre-Service Teacher Numerical Ability Test (α =0.79); stimulus instruments as operational guides for lecturers using the two treatments and control groups. Four Null hypotheses were tested at 0.05 level of significance. Data were analyzed using descriptive statistics.

The treatment had a significant main effect on pre-service teachers' achievement in integrated science concept ($F_{2,294} = 56.149$; P<.05) and science process skills acquisition ($F_{(2,294)} = 33.525$, P<0.05). Pre-service Science Teachers exposed to RRPT attained higher achievement mean score ($\bar{x}=24.8$) than those in the RRT ($\bar{x}=21.02$) and control group ($\bar{x}=18.891$). Also, for Science Process skills, the RRT group had higher mean score ($\bar{x}=57.50$), than those in the RRPT ($\bar{x}=49.28$) and the control groups ($\bar{x}=47.04$).

The Reflective-Reciprocal Teaching as well as the Reflective-Reciprocal Peer Teaching Strategies enhanced pre-service science teachers' achievement and science process skills in integrated science when employed by the teachers of the subject. The two strategies should, therefore, be used for teaching Integrated Science at the College of Education level and Basic Science at basic education level.

Key words: Reflective-reciprocal Teaching strategy, Reflective-reciprocal peer tutoring strategy, Pre-service teachers, Science process skills, Achievement in Integrated science

INTRODUCTION

Integrated Science study started in Nigerian Colleges of Education in 1990/1991 session as Integrated Science. The curriculum provides for single and double major courses for the pre-service teachers. The single major programme enables the pre-service teacher to offer Integrated Science courses in addition to other single subjects. It is a 40 credit course load in Integrated Science with the remaining load for any other subject whereas the double major programme requires 72-credit course load for the pre-service teachers which is spread over duration of three years. But with effect from 2009/2010 session the double major programme has been scraped, leaving only the single major programme.

Today, Integrated Science is offered in all colleges of education in Nigeria. The programme has helped in producing manpower for the teaching of basic science at the primary and junior secondary school levels of education. However, research reports show that the programme has not been quite successful (Olarewaju, 1996). Some reasons were adduced for this, such as absence of science laboratories in preparing pre – science teachers in Nigeria Colleges of Education (Omoifo, 2010), fear and dislike of the physics aspect of Integrated science as highly mathematical and abstract in nature (Owolabi, 2008), lack of adequate supply of expert teachers of Integrated Science at college level, lack of adequate facilities for teaching Integrated Science using activities oriented method and ineffective methods used by the teacher of Integrated Science.

The Federal Government of Nigeria in its quest for scientific inquiry formulated goals in the national policy on education (FME, 2004) which reflect, amongst others, the acquisition of appropriate skills and the development of mental, physical and social abilities and competencies as requirement for the individual to live in and contribute to the development of the society. Educational activities, therefore, are expected to be centered on the learner for maximum self-development and self-fulfillment. In view of these, the junior school is made both pre-vocational and academic. The science teacher at this level is expected to teach science in order to enable the students acquire further knowledge about nature and acquire necessary skills to address life challenges.

The quest for the literacy of future generations led the Federal Government of Nigeria to come up with the National Commission for Colleges of Education (NCCE). This body is saddled with the responsibility of producing teachers with Nigeria Certificate in Education (NCE) to teach in primary and junior secondary schools. This programme with the sole aim of producing teachers to teach these levels of education effectively are mostly confronted with a lot of problems which account for the poor performance as shown in Table 1 and Figure 1 containing the final-year results of Integrated science students from Osun State College of Education, Ila, Federal College of Education, Abeokuta, Emmanuel Alayande College of Education, Oyo and Federal College of Education (Special), Oyo between 2005 and 2008. For the three sessions indicated in the table, a total of 1879 students in the final year took the final examinations, 694 passed the examination and 1185 failed. This implies that only 37% graduated while 63% did not graduate. This is not good enough when one considers the importance of the subject to the scientific literacy and technological development of the country.

TABLE 1 HERE FIGURE 1 HERE

Researchers have identified some probable causes of poor performance in the subject. These range from student factors like their poor attitudes to science, (Showers and Shrigley, 1995), lack of interest in science (Adepitan, 2003), lack of role models in the subject (Ivowi and Oludotun, 2001), poor mathematical background (Ogunleye, 2001). Government factors in the area of policy making,

infrastructural provision and teacher welfare (Ogunleye, 2001), teacher factors such as teaching methods employed (Adepitan, 2003; Kalijah, 2000) and unhealthy teacher-student relationship (Aysan, 1996).

There seems to be a general consensus of opinion among science educators concerning the vital role played by teaching methods or instructional strategies adopted by the teacher as these and other variables affect students' achievement and attitude to science (Akinsola, 1999; Gbolagade, 2009). He emphasized the importance of appropriate teaching method in the development of skills required for making science content relevant to the growth and development of both the individual and the society. He called for the adequate training of teachers, which should include the introduction of appropriate methods of teaching the subject-matter. Iroegbu (1998) observed that learners tend to derive maximum benefits in learning cognitive skills when the teaching strategy adopted involves the use of a mixture of different methods, while at the same time, creating opportunity for the learners to practice skills as meaningful whole. Learners must, therefore, be exposed to situations, which demand the knowledge and skills they are required to acquire and use.

Pre-service teachers' science process skills are aspects to be given considerable attention during their training period. This is necessary as they are being trained to teach others later. Integrating the science process skills into the teaching requires no drastic changes in teaching style but merely involves making the processes of science to be more explicit in lessons, investigation and activities already in use in science curriculum (Wetzel, 2008).

In 2009, the Oyo State Universal Basic Education Board (SUBEB) undertook monitoring and assessment of all the teachers in the primary school in the state and reported that the primary school teachers are performing poorly in all school subjects and even worse in the sciences (OYO SUBEB News, 2009; Akinsola, 2010). The report shows that the primary school teachers are not doing well academically both in pedagogy and in knowledge of the subject- matter. For the situation to be rescued there should be a reformation at the NCE level of education Indeed, the pre - service teacher training programme in science should be properly looked into. They should be exposed to various teaching strategies that can arouse and sustain student interest, build up self efficiency and positively change the attitude of students to Basic Science. Many instructional strategies have been developed and found effective in teaching science. Examples are the collaborative group strategies for pre-service teachers by Gbolagade and Adedigba (2002), problem solving and concept mapping by Orji (1998) reform-based instruction by Barak and Shakhman (2008), meta-cognition strategy by Eldar (2008), self-regulation strategy by Arsal (2010) and Predict-Observe-explain strategies by Babajide (2010) to mention but a few.

In spite of all these efforts towards improved teaching strategies, the performance of students is still very low since all these laudable methods do not translate into use by classroom teachers some of who are not ready to change their classroom practices acquired during training. Also, most of these novel strategies are not recommended in the curriculum. So, the researcher is of the opinion that if these improved teaching strategies are emphasized and used in training the preservice teachers, they would be familiar with these teaching strategies in the course of their training and find it more convenient and easy to use when they are in the field practising as teachers.

The National Commission for Colleges of Education (NCCE) recommended various teaching methods that can be used to teach Integrated Science course which includes demonstration, discussion, project, field trip, group discussion and lecture methods. Of all these, lecture method is the most popular, commonest and mostly abused in Nigerian classrooms (Duyilemi, 2005). It is mostly used in tertiary institutions. According to Oludipe (2003), persistent and remarkable expansion in student enrolment at all levels of education as well as shortage of classroom

accommodation and other necessary facilities may be responsible for the popularity of lecture method in Nigerian science classes. Again Lecture method is more effective in large classes.

Ogunsola- Bamidele (1996) has remarked earlier that lecture method is the most abused of all teaching methods and the least effective in many respects. This implies that the aims and objectives of Basic Science cannot be attained with lecture method; hence, there is the need for more involving methods of instruction. That is if Basic Science should be taught to achieve its stated objectives, an activity and student oriented approach should be used in line with the role of teacher which has gradually shifted from traditional disseminator to that of mentoring or tutoring. Here, the teacher assists students with sources of information and provides them with guidance. The teacher provides structural, supportive and professional role to the students in analysis, interpretation and reporting of findings (Sampson and Yeoman, 2010). Every educator has ethics and moral obligation to assist all students to realize their full potentials (Orlich, Harder, Callahn, Travisan, Brown, 2010).

Students need to be given opportunity to be actively involved in the learning process (Duyilemi, 2005). Teaching is not simply standing in front of a class talking, the best teachers contemplate the manner in which they will present a topic and have a wide variety of instruction models at their disposal (Orlich et al, 2010). It is, therefore, imperative to create room for further search for instructional models that could appeal and arouse learners' interest and at the same time help to achieve the objectives of science education.

To achieve desired educational goals, teachers need to reflect on their teaching goals and how these interface with the demographics and abilities of their students. This process will, according to Clarke (2006), allow the teacher to clarify their knowledge base, the content, and their students' learning styles and crystallized the pedagogy to be implemented. In choosing instructional strategies, teachers need to consider the challenges that the students may encounter and strategies to assist the students in overcoming them. Teachers need to evaluate the lesson goals and the action of both themselves and their students as well as define the point at which difficulties emerged. A way of doing this is through constructivism which is learning in an active situation through which new knowledge is acquired by building on prior knowledge and metacognition which involves monitoring students' thinking and behaviors as they regulate what they do and think while having an experience in teaching (Hart, Dixon, Drummond, McIntyre, 2004).

Reflective Teaching is grounded in constructivism and metacognition where students and teachers are exposed to teaching and learning experience under the scrutiny of their peers and mentor or college supervisor who critiques their ideas (Clarke, 2006). Reflection, according to Clarke (2006), refers to thinking about the actual teaching which involves the thought teachers have before, during and after a lesson. This teaching strategy has not been given adequate attention in classrooms, especially in science related subjects but perhaps, could be used to achieve the objectives of basic science curriculum. At the pre-service level, it will be useful to train teachers who would adopt them when they will be practicing later in their career.

Reflective teaching is in three phases which are; the planning, teaching and debriefing phase. During the planning stage the teacher must use strategy(ies) like cooperative learning techniques, hands-on activities etc (Clarke, 2007). Based on these the researcher will use two cooperative teaching strategies with reflective teaching.

Reciprocal Teaching (RT) is a student-centered instructional strategy in which students and teachers switch roles in a lesson. It is a cooperative learning instructional method in which natural dialogues model reveal learners' thinking processes about a shared learning experience (Foster and Rotoloni, 2005). Teachers foster reciprocal teaching through their belief that collaborative construction of meaning between themselves and students lead to a higher quality of learning

(Allan, 2003; Akinsola, 2006). Students take ownership of their role in reciprocal teaching as they feel comfortable expressing their ideas and opinions in open dialogue. They take turns articulating with each learning strategy employed. The learning community is able to reinforce understanding and to see, hear and correct misconceptions that otherwise might not have been apparent. All members of the community have shared responsibility for leading and taking part in dialogue during learning experience (Hashed and Connor, 2003).

Furthermore, Reciprocal Teaching increases students' confidence and success in their understanding and use of strategies and in their enjoyment of literature. However, there is not enough literature on the use of RT in Science Education. According to Garderen (2004), a modified version of reciprocal teaching can benefit students who struggle to comprehend mathematical word problems. The four major component of this modified approach are clarifying, questioning, summarizing and planning. The PT could be of help in teaching Dynamics which is a physical aspect of Integrated Science and being mathematics oriented course. Reciprocal teaching is an instructional strategy based on modeling and guided practical in which the instructor first models a set of reading comprehension strategies and then gradually cedes responsibility for these strategies to the students (Brown and Palincsar, 1989; Palincsar, 1986; Palincsar and Brown, 1984).

Reciprocal Teaching consists of three main components: (a) the teaching and learning of specific concept and the strategies (b) the dialogue between an instructor and students where the instructor models why, when, and where to use the strategies and (c) the appropriating of the role of the instructor by the students, i.e. students begin to model the strategy for other students. Thus, the goals of RT are for students to learn the strategies, learn how and when to use the strategies and become self-regulated in the use of these strategies.

Reciprocal Peer Tutoring (RPT) is also an intervention in which one student provides instruction or academic assistance to another student. RPT is a form of cooperative learning, which has been found to be an effective technique for increasing students' academic achievement (Sharman, 1991; Slavin, 1991). Conceptually, Peer Tutoring is similar to many activities ranging from the informal encounters of play to the most complex activities of cooperation in which people help one another and learn by doing so. This process transforms learning from a private to a social activity by making learners to be responsible for their learning and that of others. Researchers have shown that both tutors and tutees gain immensely from participating in reciprocal peer tutoring (Slavin, 1996; Forman, 1994; Griffin and Griffin, 1997). In this process, students function reciprocally as both tutors and tutees. This dual role is beneficial because it enables students to gain from both the preparation and instruction in which tutors are engaged and from the instructions that tutees received (Griffin and Griffin, 1997). Successful peer-tutoring interventions have been carried out in school settings and have typically provided supplementary practice for fundamental skills such as reading, spelling, or mathematics (Mayfield and Vollmer, 2007). In addition, these interventions often involve extensive training (4 to 8 hours) prior to implementation, the use of structured formats and predesigned materials to guide instruction and precise methods of delivering feedback (Fuchs and Fuchs, 2001; Fuchs et al, 2001; Saenz, Fuchs, and Fuchs, 2005).

The mode of entry of students into the NCE programme is an index of students 'previous knowledge or entry behavior. The Joint Admission and Matriculation Board (JAMB) was established by the Federal Government of Nigeria through Act 2 of 1978 to regularize the intake of students into universities and later polytechnics, monothechnics and colleges of education to solve the problem of multiple admission given to some candidates at the expense of others, (JAMB,1998). The mode of entry into NCE programme is in two forms: the direct entry handled by JAMB and prelimary studies handled by the colleges of education themself. Daniels and Schouten in Adeyemi (2009) is of the opinion that a prediction of a future examination result could be made

with reasonable success on the basis of the result of an earlier examination and that grade may serve as predictive measure and as a criteria measure.

As an evidence of all the above assertions, the researcher investigates the effect of the reflective teaching model vis-à-vis reciprocal teaching and reciprocal peer tutoring on pre-service teachers' achievement and science process skills in pre-service Integrated Science. The researcher also looks into the moderating effects of mode of entry on their achievement and science process skills in pre-service Integrated Science.

Statement of the Problem

This study determines differential effectiveness of reflective-reciprocal teaching and reflective-reciprocal peer tutoring on pre-service teachers' achievement and science process skills in integrated science. The study further investigates the moderating effects of mode of entry of students into the college on the two dependent measures.

Hypotheses

The following null hypotheses were tested in the course of this study at 0.5 level of significance. H_01 : There is no significant main effect of treatment on pre-service teachers' achievement in Integrated Science H_02 :

There is no significant main effect of treatment on pre-service teachers' science process skills in Integrated Science H_03 : There is

no significant main effect of mode of entry on pre-service teachers' achievement in Integrated Science. H_{04} : There is no

significant main effect of mode of entry on pre-service teachers' science process skills in Integrated Science

METHODOLOGY

The pretest- posttest, control group, quasi-experimental research design was adopted for this research. The participants for this study was taken from N.C.E II Pre-service teachers studying Integrated Science as teaching subject in the government owned eleven (11) colleges of education (four owned by the Federal government while the remaining seven by the State government) in South West Nigeria. From these Colleges Six (three federal and three state) was purposely selected based on their relative distance from one another. The colleges were randomly assigned to treatment and the control group. Two colleges were exposed to Reflective-Reciprocal teaching another two to Reflective-Reciprocal Peer Tutoring and the remaining two to the Conventional Teaching. From the selected colleges, intact classes of N.C.E II Integrated Science were used for the study.

Instrumentation

Six instruments used in the study were:

Operational Guide for Reflective-Reciprocal Teaching Strategy (OGRRTS)

Operational Guide for Reflective-Reciprocal Peer Tutoring Strategy (OGRRPTS)

Operational Guide for Modified Conventional Teaching Strategy (OGMCTS)

3.

Operational Guide for Modified Conventional Teaching Strategy (OGMCTS)

4. Preservice Teachers' Numerical Ability Test (PTNAT)

5. Preservice Teachers' Achievement Test in Basic Science (PTATBS)

Process Skills Rating Scale (SPSRS)

Operational Guide for Reflective-Reciprocal Teaching Model (OGRRTM)

This operational guide was used for teaching experimental group 1. It is an instructional guide based on the philosophy of cooperative work among learners and reflection on the part of the

trained lecturer. This operating guide is made up of four RT strategies of 'Predicting' 'Questioning' 'Clarifying' and 'Summarising.

The research assistant models the four RT strategies, and then provides examples of meaningful dialogue in which text ideas were elaborately connected to previous knowledge and applied in new ways. Next, the trained lecturer provides guided practice for their students; gives them feedback on their use of RT strategies and allows them to take ownership of the strategy. The inter-rater reliability was then estimated using Scott's π . The inter rater reliability index obtained was 0.75.

Operational Guide for Reflective-Reciprocal Peer Tutoring Strategies (OGRRPTS)

This operational guide consists of five lessons that were used for treatment group II. The lesson plan was based on the steps listed by Utley and Mortweet (1997) as well as Fuchs and Fuchs, (2001). The main features of the guide are; general information, procedure, general objective, tutor training, peer tutoring, peers shifting of roles and the content of the subject-matters.. The inter-rater reliability was then estimated using Scott's π . The interrater reliability index obtained was 0.72.

3.5.3 Operational Guide for Modified Conventional Teaching Strategy (OGMCTS)

The instructional guide consists of six lessons that were used to teach pre-service teacher in the control group. The lessons were based on normal ways of writing lesson note. The main feature of the guide is: general information, the procedure, the teacher, general objectives, content for each lesson, summary and conclusion. The recommendations given were used to reconstruct some of the guide. The inter-rater reliability was then estimated using Scott's π . The inter rater reliability index obtained was 0.77.

Pre-service Teachers Numerical Ability Test (PTNAT)

This instrument was administered to the pre-service teachers before exposing them to treatment. The instrument developed by the researcher consists of two sections. The section A seeks for demographic information of the respondents such as name, college, sex and mode of entry while section B consists of 30 items which the pre-service teachers will solve on the space provided on the question paper to test their numerical ability level. To validate PTNAT, the instrument was given to two experts in Science Education. Their advice was incorporated into the items. The modified test items was administered to thirty five pre-service teachers that will not be involved in the main study to determine the reliability and internal consistency of the scores using Split-half method. The reliability index obtained was 0.79.

Pre-service Teachers' Achievement Test in Integrated Science (PTATIS)

This instrument tests the Pre-service teachers' intellectual achievement in speed and acceleration, linear momentum work, energy and power. The test contains fifty multiple-choice objective test items. It has two sections with Section A containing demographic information such as name, college, sex and mode of entry while section B containing the test items. The alternatives for the questions range from A to D. The items with moderate difficulty indices of 0.4 to 0.6 were retained while ensuring that such items had positive correlation with the entire test. The responses were used to determine the reliability using Kuder-Richardson formular 20 (KR-20). The KR-20 value of 0.85 was obtained.

3.5.6 Pre-service Teachers Science Process Skills Rating Scale (PTSPSRS)

This is made up of thirty two items on a 5-point rating scale to measure pre-service teachers' science process skills. The thirty two items were distributed among the six basic science process skills which are observing, classifying, measuring, communicating, inferring and predicting. The rating scales used are: Very Good=5, Good=4, Very Fair=3, Fair=2 and Poor=1. The inter-rater reliability was then estimated using Scott's π . The inter-rater reliability index obtained was 0.82.

Research Procedure

The following time schedule was used for the study. 1st -3rd weeks: Training of Research Assistant and discussion with lecturers in control group 4th week: Administration of Pretest and numerical ability test. 5th -9th weeks: Application of treatment on experimental and control group 10th week: Administration of Posttest and science process skill rating scale. (1) Training of Lecturers (3 weeks). (2) The researcher personally visit the participating lecturers who are the lecturers handling the course in their respective colleges and train them on how to implement the steps involved in the guides designed. Two lecturers were trained for each experimental group 1, experimental group II. The training covers one week each for each of the two groups. The researcher also visit the colleges used as control. (3) The fourth week was used for pretest administration for all pre-service teachers participating in the study using the achievement test and numerical ability test. (4) The fifth to tenth week was used for the implementation of the treatment (including pre-service teachers 'science process skills rating scale) for each of the two experimental and control groups. The pre-service teachers were rated during the first and the last activities class using the Pre-service Teachers' Science Process Skills rating scale with the activities recorded using video recorder.

Method of Data Analysis

Data collected were analyzed using Analysis of Covariance (ANCOVA). The Multiple Classification Analysis (MCA) aspect of ANCOVA was used to determine the magnitude of the performance of the various groups. Where there were significant main effects, the Scheffé Post-hoc Analysis was used to determine the sources of such significant differences. For significant interaction effects, graphical illustrations were used to explain such effects.

RESULTS

 $H_{O\,1}$: There is no significant main effect of treatment on pre-service teachers' achievement in Integrated Science

TABLE 2 HERE

Table 2 shows that there is significant effect of treatment on pre-service teachers achievement in integrated science ($F_{(2, 294)} = 56.149$; p<.05). This means that there is significant difference in the posttest achievement scores of those in the Reflective-Reciprocal Teaching, Reflective-Reciprocal Peer Tutoring and those in the control group. The null hypothesis Ho1 is, therefore, rejected.

In order to trace the actual sources of the significant effect of treatment on achievement, Table 3 presents the summary of Scheffé post hoc tests.

Table 3 here

Table 3. Shows that the Reflective-Reciprocal Teaching (\bar{x} =21.02) significantly differs from the control group (\bar{x} = 18.89). Also, the Reflective-Reciprocal Peer Tutoring group (\bar{x} =24.84) differs significantly from the control group. These significantly pair wise differences were therefore, responsible for the significant effect of treatment on achievement in Integrated. Science

 $\mathbf{H}_{0\,2}$: There is no significant main effect of treatment on pre-service teachers' science process skills in Integrated Science

Table 4 HERE

Table 4 shows that there is significant effect of treatment on pre-service teachers science process skills in Integrated Science ($F_{(2, 294)}$ = 33.525, p<.05). This implies that the difference in the science process skills of pre-service teachers exposed to Reflective-Reciprocal Teaching, Reflective-Reciprocal Peer Tutoring and control are significant. Hypothesis Ho2 is hereby rejected.

Table 5 Here

Table 5 shows that the significant effect of treatment on the pre-service teachers science process skills is due to the significant pair wise difference between RRT (\bar{x} =57.50)and RRPT (\bar{x} =67.04) as well as between RRT (\bar{x} =57.50) and the control group (\bar{x} =47.04).

Ho3: There is no significant main effect of mode of entry on pre-service teachers' achievement in Integrated Science.

Table 2 shows that mode of entry has no significant effect on the pre-service teachers achievement in Integrated. Science ($F_{(1,294)}$ = .115; p>.05). Based on this, hypothesis 3 is not rejected.

Ho4: There is no significant main effect of mode of entry on pre-service teachers' science process skills in Integrated Science

Table 2 shows that there is no significant effect of mode of entry on pre-service teachers' science process skills in integrated science ($F_{(1, 294)} = 1.030$; p>.05). Hypothesis 4 is therefore, not rejected.

DISCUSSION, CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The major finding of this study is that there is a significant difference in the achievement of preservice teachers exposed to Reflective-Reciprocal Peer Tutoring, Reflective-Reciprocal Teaching and the Modified Conventional Teaching strategies. The Reflective-Reciprocal Peer Tutoring was the most effective strategy followed by the Reflective-Reciprocal Teaching with the Modified Conventional strategy been the least effective. The superiority of Reflective-Reciprocal Peer Tutoring may be due to the fact that it had a structured format where pre-service teachers taught, monitored, and evaluated one another. That is, pre-service teachers were part of the teaching-learning process and were able to prepare instructional materials, plan the lesson, deliver the lesson, receive feedback from peers and reflect after the lesson to identify where problems arose with probable solutions provided against other classes. They functioned both as tutor and as tutee while the teacher acted as a facilitator.

Also the pre-service teachers monitored their academic progress in a group context, setting team goal and managing their own group reward. These assist the pre-service teachers to improve their perceptions of their own academic competence and self-control. It also made the pre-service teachers to be responsible for the actions in the class, monitoring their academic progress rather than being passive learners. The pre-service teachers were at the center of the teaching and learning process. The bulk of the responsibility lied on them with the classroom teacher only monitoring and providing help when the "teacher" had trouble answering students' questions. The pre-service teachers played the major and important roles in the classroom setting. These roles developed their self-confidence and made them to possess sense of self-direction and self-control in teaching. It also empowered them to take responsibility for their own action and that of their group.

Pre-service teachers' better performance in Reflective-Reciprocal Peer Tutoring may also be due to the fact that they worked cooperatively with their peers thereby providing the social context for the pre-service teachers to actively learn and make deeper connections among facts, concepts and ideas. This developed their social and communication skills, increased cooperation and tolerance of one another as pre-service teachers were from diverse background working together to achieve group goal and aspiration. This made learning to be more permanent The improvement in achievement with the use of Reflective-Reciprocal Peer Tutoring over the two other strategies may also be due to the fact that it utilized group reward system and interdependence that maximized learning and motivation. The pre-service teachers were active learners in the classroom. They took active part in the planning and delivering of a lesson thereby acquitting them with the role of a teacher. This findings is in agreement with the earlier research results obtained by Fantuzzo, King and Heller (1992), Slavin (1996) Griffin and Giffin (1997), Fuchs and Fuchs (2003) and Mayfield and Vollmer (2007).

The Reflective-Reciprocal Teaching was more effective than the Modified Conventional Strategy. This may be as a result of its shift from the instructor-centered to student-centered style where there was a construction of meaning between the Lecturer and the pre-service teachers which consequently led to high quality learning. The Pre-service teacher swapped roles with the Lecturer thereby increasing their confidence and level of understanding. This strategy encouraged the preservice teachers to feel comfortable expressing their ideas and opinion in open dialogue. This dialogue included discussions, questions and answers, with feedback after which both the lecturer and the pre-service teachers reflected on what happened in the classroom. They identified common problems, thought about possible solutions and attempted an action plan to use against the forthcoming class. Also, in Reflective-Reciprocal Teaching the pre-service teachers thought before, during and after the lessons and this made them to consult different texts before the lesson and this in turn widened their horizon on the topic. This also helped the pre-service teachers to forge connections between theory and practice. The improvement on pre-service teachers performance in the strategy over the Modified Conventional strategy may also be as a result of pre-service teachers checking their understanding of materials they encountered by generating questions, clarifying concepts, summarizing important information from text and ruminating over all these after the class. This gave the pre-service teachers opportunity to monitor their learning and thinking processes. The Reflective-Reciprocal Teaching required the pre-service teachers to interact and participate actively in the class. This fostered healthy relationships and helped to create an ideal learning and social environment. The superiority of this strategy over the conventional strategy may also be as a result of the topic used being mostly in text with minimal mathematical operations as Reflective-Reciprocal Teaching strategy was most effective with text. This result is in agreement with the findings obtained by Lavariee (2000), Rosenshine (2003), Oczkus (2003), Hashey and Connors (2003), Foster and Rotoloni (2005), Peter, David, Cheri, William and Carl (2006) and Clarke (2007) who all found Reflective-Reciprocal Teaching as effective in the teaching of science.

The result obtained showed that there was a significant main effect of treatment on pre-service teachers' science process skills. The Reflective-Reciprocal Teaching was more effective than the Reflective-Reciprocal Peer Tutoring and the Modified Conventional teaching strategy. The superiority of the Reflective-Reciprocal Teaching at improving science process skills may be as a result of the nature of the strategy which involved a little input by the lecturer since both the lecturer and the pre-service teacher shifted role during the lessons. The lecturer in this group brought their experience and expertise to bear. Hence, the science process skills acquired by the pre-service teachers in this group were higher than those acquired by pre-service teachers in the two other groups. This finding is in agreement with the results obtained by Harlen (2000) as well as Marzano, Pickering and Pollock (2001) that teachers' role have positive influence on the acquisition of science process skills.

The Reflective-Reciprocal Peer Tutoring was more effective than the Modified Conventional Teaching Strategy probably because pre-service teacher in this group were in full control of the activities involved in the practical class. Pre-service teachers in this group therefore acquired some skills and still retained those skills. This accounted for their higher adjusted posttest science process skills mean score and hence, the effectiveness of the Reflective-Reciprocal Peer Tutoring strategy over the Modified Conventional Teaching Strategy. This finding is in line with the finding of Driscoll, (2000) and Ong and Kenneth, (2005) who found that learners readiness, their interaction with others and active participation and involvement were major factor in development of science process skills.

Based on the results obtained and discussed in this study, the following recommendations are hereby made:

- 1. The use of Reflective-Reciprocal Peer Tutoring Strategy and Reflective-Reciprocal Teaching are recommended to lecturers for the teaching of Integrated Science in the colleges of education for better achievement.
- 2. The pre-service teachers should be encouraged by the lecturers to be familiar with both strategies so that it will be convenient and easy for them to use when practicing as a teacher.
- 3. The use of Reflective-Reciprocal Teaching is recommended in practical class for better acquisition of science process skills in Integrated Science.
- 4. The pre-service teachers should be made to use both strategies by the lecturers and supervisors when on teaching practice to improve the achievement of their students.
- 5. The lecturer and pre-service teachers should be encouraged by their lectures to be a reflective teacher as this would be a form of professional development.
- 6. Government should organize a form of in-service and re-training programme for teachers in the effective use of the Reflective-Reciprocal Peer Tutoring and Reflective-Reciprocal Teaching Strategies through organization of seminars, workshop, and conferences for science teachers.

REFERENCES

Adedigba, A. T. (2002) Two collaborative group strategies and achievement in Mathematics in colleges of Education. An unpublished Ph. D Thesis University of Ibadan.

Adepitan, J. O. (2003) Pattern of enrolment on Physics and students evaluation of the contributory factors on Nigeria Colleges of Education; *African Journal of Education Research* (2):136-146.

Adeniyi, A. G. (2005) Science and technology education in secondary schools: Need for manpower development. *Journal of the Science Teachers Association of Nigeria*, 40(1 & 2): 63-67.

Adeyemi, T. O. (2009) Mode of Entry as a Predictor of Success in Final Year University. *Middle East Journal of Scientific Research* 4(1): 10-19

Barak, M. & Shakhman, L. (2008) Fostering higher-order thinking in science class: Teachers' reflections, *Teachers and Teaching, Theory and Practice*, 14(3): 191-208.

Adu, E. O. (2002) Two problem-based Learning Strategies: Quantitative ability and gender as determinants of students' academic Achievement in Economics. Unpublished Ph.D Thesis, University of Ibadan.

Afolabi, A. O; Mabayoje, V.O; Togun, V.A; Oyadeyi, A.S and Raji, Y. (2007) The Effect of Mode of Entry into Medical School on Performance in the First Two Years. *Journal of Medical Science*. 7 (6): 1021-1026.

Agoro, A. A. (2002) Relative effects of instruction, level of commitment and gender on student learning outcome in integrated science. An unpublished M.Ed. Thesis, University of Ibadan, Ibadan.

Akinsola, M.K. (1999) Mastery learning, cooperative mastery learning strategies and students' achievement in Integrated Science. Second International Conference of the European Science Education Research Association .E.S.E.R.A. August 31-September 4, 199, Kiel, Germany. Available as Pos 2-14 at: http://www.ipn.uni-kiel.de/projkte/esera/book/bi32-aki.pdf

Akinsola, M.K. (2006) In-service mathematics teachers' beliefs about mathematics teaching and learning. In Kirsti & Markku S. Hannula (Eds.) Current State of Research on Mathematics Beliefs XII. Proceedings of MAVI 12 Conference, May 25-28, p 1-9.

Akinsola M. K. (2010) Science and Mathematics teachers' perception of continuing professional development programmes in Nigeria. Paper presented at STEM in Education Conference, at Queensland University of Technology, Brisbane, Australia 20- 27 November 2010. http://stem.ed.qut.edu.au/index.php/conference-proceedings.html

Allen, S. (2003) An analytical comparison of three models of reading strategy instruction. IRAL: *International Review of Applied Linguistics in Language Teaching*. 41 (4): 319-339

Allen, T, Vandekeerre, K. Topping, K. J Kosack, W. Gatt, S. Marchal, J.Mestdagh, N. Schmeinck, N. Sidor, W Donnert, K. (200) Peer Learning on Primary School Science: Theoretical Perspective and Implication for Classroom Practice. *Electronics Journal of Research in Educational Psychology* vol. 5(3): 471-496

Arsal, Zeki. (2010) The Effect of diaries on Self-Regulation Strategies of Pre-service Science Teachers. *International Journal of Environmental and Science Education* 5(1):85-103

Artzt, A. F. and Armour – Thomas, E. (2002) *Becoming a reflective mathematics teacher, A guide for observations and self-assessment*. N. J. Lawrence Erlbaum Associates

Aysan, F. I. (1996) Perceived causes of Academic failure among the students at the faculty of Education at Buca, Turkey.

Babajide, V. F. T. (2010) Generative and Predict-Observe-Explain Instructional Strategies as determinants of Secondary School Students Achievement and Practical Skills in Physics. An unpublished Ph. D Thesis university of Ibadan.

Bailey, K. M. (1990) The use of diary studies in teacher education programmes in S.C. Richard and D. Nunan (Edu.) *Second Language Teacher Education* New York: Cambridge University Press. 215-226.

Barak, M. & Shakhman, L. (2008) Fostering higher-order thinking in science class: Teachers' reflections, *Teachers and Teaching, Theory and Practice*, 14(3): 191-208.

Barbosa, M. (2003) Equity for women in Physics world physics web. Htm returned July, 30, 2010. Beaumont-Walters, Y. and Soyibo, K. (2001) An analysis of high school students' performance on five integrated science process skills, *Research in Science and Technological Education*, 19(2):133-145.

Bordet M., De Brocket, P., Read, C., Harris, S. and Zhang, Y. (2001) Determinants of science and Technology. Skills; Overview of the study *Education quarterly review, statistics canards* <u>1</u> (8): 8-11

Clarke, C. (2007) Reflective Teaching Model: A Tool for motivation, collaboration, self-reflection and Innovation in Learning. Georgia State University.

Dickers, J. and Delater, J. (2001) Enrolment trends in school science Education in Australia. *International Journal of Science Education* **23**(1): 487-500

Duyilemi A. N, (2005) The Jets Programme and the attitude of girls to science and technology in Nigeria. *Educational Thought* **4** (1): 32-38.

Driscoll, M. P. (2000) Psychology of learning for instruction. MA: Allyn and Bacon.

Eggen, P. D. and Kancak, P.P (2006) Strategies and Models for teacher. *Teaching content and theory skills*.

Elder Osnat, 2008. A metacognition strategy for training Pre-service teachers: Collaborative diagnosis of conceptual understanding in Science. Proceedings of the 8th International Conference on International conference for the learning science. 3

Emeka, E. A. and Adegoke, B. A. (2001) The interaction effects of test response mode, students' numerical ability and gender on cognitive achievement in senior secondary school Physics in Y. Awosika et al (eds), *Topical Issues in Education*: Papers in honuor of Professor C. O, Udoh.

Esan, A. O. (2002) Effects of cooperative and individualistic problem solving strategies on students learning outcomes in Secondary School Mathematics unpublished Ph. D Thesis, University of Ibadan.

Ergul, R., Simsekli, Y., Calis, S., Ozdilek, Z., Gocmencelebi, S., and Sanli, M. (2011) The Effect of Inquiry-Based Science Teaching on Elementary School Students' Science Process Skills and Science Attitudes. *Bulgarian Journal of Science and Eduction Policy*, **5**(1)

Foster, E., Rotoloni, R.. (2005) Reciprocal Teaching: General overview of theories.. In M. Orey (Ed.), Emerging perspectives on learning, teaching, and technology. Retrieved <1/6/2011>, from http://projects.coe.uga.edu/epltt/

Forman, F. (1994) Peer Collaboration as Situated Activity: Examples from Research on Scientific Problem Solving. In: H.C. FOOTE, C.J. Howe, A. Anderson, A.K. Tolmie, D.A. Warden (Eds) Group and Interactive Learning. pp 3-8 Southampton, Computational Publications

Fuchs, D., and Fuchs, L.S. (2005) Peer-Assisted Learning Strategies: Promoting word recognition, fluency, and reading comprehension in young children. *The Journal of Special Education*, **39**(1): 34-44

Federal Ministry of Education(FME). (2004) Nigerian National Policy on Education.

Garg, K. and Cupa, B. (2003) Decline in Science Education in India. A case study of undergraduate lend current science **84** (9): 1198-1201

Garderen, D. (2004) Reciprocal teaching as a comprehension strategy for understanding mathematical word problems. Boston: Houghton-Miffim.

Griffin, B. W. and Griffin, M. M. (1997) The Effects of Reciprocal Peer Tutoring on Graduate Student's Achievement, Test Anxiety and Academic Self-Efficacy. *Journal of Experimental Education* **65**(3)

Goto, M, (2001) *Japan International Bureau for education (IBF)*. Science Education for contemporary Society: Problem Issue and Dilemma Geneos UNESCO 31-38

Gbolagade. R. O. (2009) The impact of constructivist model based training programmes on pre service teacher knowledge attitude classroom practice and students teaching outcome in Junior Secondary School Mathematics. An unpublished Ph.D Thesis of University of Ibadan.

Gunderson, E. A; Ramirez, G; Beilock, S.l. and Levine, S.C. (2011) The relation between spartial skills and early number knowledge: The role of the linear number line. *Spatial Intelligence and Learning Center NSF Science of Learning Center*, Chicago.

Grossman, sue, Williston and Judy, (2000) Strategies for teaching early childhood students to connect reflective thinking to practice

Harlen, W. (2000) *Teaching, learning and assessing science* 5-12 (3rd ed.). London: Paul Chapman Publishing.

Hashey, J. M. and Connors, D.J. (2003) Learning from our Journal: Reciprocal teaching action research. *Reading Teacher*, 57 (3), 224-233

Hats, Dixon, A., Drummond, M, and McIntyre, D. (2004) Learning without limits. Maidenhead Open University press. Reciprocal teaching goes to college: Effects for postsecondary students at risk for academic failure. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, **90** (4): 670-681.

Harrson, A. (2009) Professional development and the reflective practitioner in *Reflective Teaching* and *learning* Edited by Sul Dymoke and Jennifer Hanson. SAGE publication London.

Huppert, J, Lomask, S. and Lazarowitz, R. (2002) Computer simulations in High school: students' cognitive stages, science process skills and academic achievement in microbiology. *International Journal of Science Education*, **24**(8): 803-821.

Ivowi, U. M. O and Oludotun J. S, (2001) The student's women in Physics in Nigeria. 42nd Annual Conference proceeding science Teacher Association of Nigeria

Iroegbu, T. O (1998) Problem based learning, numerical ability and gender and line graphic skills at Senior Secondary Physics in Ibadan. An unpublished Ph.D. Thesis of University of Ibadan.

Kalijah, T. (2002) Education Training and career in Physics for woman in Malaysia In PAP *International conference on women in Physics* UNESCO Paris France.

Karamustafaoglu, S. (2011) Improving the Science Process Skills Ability of Science Student Teachers Using I Diagram. *Eurasian Journal of Physics and Chemical Education* **3**(1): 26-38.

Karen, L. L. 2009. Process Skills for Life Science: Training Guide http://soinc.org/tguides.htm.Download on 10/19/2010

Kolb, A. Y, Kolb, D. A. (2005) Learning style and Learning speaker, enhancing experimental Learning in higher education. *Interactive learning environments*, **18:** 245-261

Karause, K. L. (2004) Reflective teaching, *Educational Psychology for learning and Teaching*, 13, 1-44, Nelson Australia press ltd. Retrieved on November, 27, 2010. http://66.102.1.104/- scholars hl=x9=cache:OWs50pgkaisj:www.thouson learning .com.au/higher/-

education/krauwe/media/Krause- chapter-13.pdftrekated:owsso pgkaisJ:scholar.google.com

Kinimitt and Sledge, (2002) Problem based learning. *College Education and Psychology* Peo Hall Larrivee, B. (2000) Transforming Teaching Practice: Becoming the critical reflective teacher:

Reflective Practice, 1(3): 293-307 Latch, R. and Day, C, (2000) Action Research and Reflective Practice: towards a holistic view'

Educational Action Research, 8(1):179-193.

Mayfield, K. H. and Vollmer, T. R. (2007) Teaching Maths Skills to At-risk Students Using Homebased Peer Tutoring. *Journal of Applied Behavior Analysis*. **40** (2)

Marzano, R. J., Pickering, D.J., and Pollock, J.E. (2002) Classroom instruction that works: Research-based strategies for increasing student achievement. Virginia: ASCD.

Miller, E, (2000) Education for all in the Caribbean in the 1990s: *EFA in Caribbean assessment 2000*: Monograph series Kingston Jamaica, UNESCO

Minott M. A. (2009) Reflective Teaching: A form of Professional Development html:file:llc:\Documents and settings\my documents\Reflective Teaching A form ... 9/5/2010.

Minner, D. O., Levy, A. J. and Century, J. (2010) Inquiry-based Science Instruction- What is it and does it matter? Results from a research synthesis years 1984-2000 *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, **4**(7): 474-496

Moshe-Barak and Larisa Shakhman, (2008) Reform-Based Science Teaching: Teachers' Instructional Practice and Conceptions. *Eurasia Journal of Mathematics Science and Technology Education*. **4**(1): 11-20.

Murphy, P. (2010) Gendered learning and achievement in Polland A. Ed., *Readings for reflective teaching* New York. Continuum.

NCCE, (2009) National Commission College of Education for Colleges of Education (NCCE) Statistical Digest for Colleges of Education In Nigeria 1998-2002

Njoku, Z. C (1999). A scale for the assessment of students' chemistry practical skills in secondary schools. *Journal of the Science Teacher Association of Nigeria* **34** (1 & 2): 83 – 89.

Njoku, Z.C (2002) Enhancing girls acquisition of science process skills in co-educational schools. An experience with sex grouping for practical chemistry. *Journal of the Science Teacher Association of Nigeria* **37** (1 & 2)

Nwagbo, C. (2002) Level of scientific literacy of secondary school science students' implications for sustainable development in Nigeria. *Proceedings of the 43rd Annual Conference and Inaugural Conference of CASTME Africa*; 73-77.

Oczkus, L. D. (2003) Reciprocal Teaching at work: Strategies for improving reading comprehension, Network, DE: *International Reading Association*.

Oginni, A. M. (2009) Selected Students' Aptitude Indices and School Factors as Predictors of Senior Secondary School Students Learning Outcomes in Chemistry in Lagos State-An unpublished Ph.D Thesis University of Ibadan.

Ogunleye, B. O.(2001) Circle Perception of strategies for improving low enrolment, under achievement and attitude of girls in Physics at the Senior Secondary School level. *Proceeding of STAN 42nd annual conference*

Oguneye, W. and Lasisi, I. 2008. Increasing women enrolment in physics education: The way forward. *Journal of science Teacher Association of Nigeria* **43**(1&2): 35-43.

Ogunsola-Bamidele, M. F, (1996) Mathematics in Physics which way forward; attitude the teaching of physics. Paper presented at the Annual meeting of the National Science Teachers Association of Nigeria

Okebukola, P. O. A. (2002) A review of state of science in developing countries. *Science Education Review.* **46** (6): 23-45

Olagunju, A. M, Adesoji. F.A. Iroegbu, T. O and Ige, T.A. (2003) Innovations in science teaching for the new millennium. In Oluremi Bamisaiye (Ed). *Innovations in Theory and Practice* Macmillan publisher Nigeria.

Olanrewaju, A. O. (1996) Using Nigerian Languages as media of instruction to enhance science and technological development. An action Delarged. Fafunwa Foundation *Internet Journal of Education using Nigeria Language retrieved 23 Nov, 2010*.

Oludipe, B. D. (2003) Peer Tutoring-assisted instruction. An intervention for increasing senior secondary school students' achievement in Physics. *African Journal of Educational research* **9** (1 & 2) June/Dec. Published by the Department of Teacher Education, University of Ibadan, Nigeria.

Oludipe, B. (2009) Impact of Reciprocal Peer Tutoring on Secondary School Student's A chievement in Large Physics Classroom in Nigeria

Ong, E. K. and Kenneth, R. (2005) Acquisition of Science Process Skills amongst Form 3 Students in Malaysian Smart and Mainstream Schools. *Journal of Science and Mathematics Education in S.E. Asia*, **28** (1)

Orji, A. B. (1998) Effects of problem solving and concept wrapping instructional strategies on students learning outcomes in Physics in Ibadan. An unpublished Ph. D Thesis university of Ibadan, Nigeria.

Orlich, D. C Harder, R. J., Callahan, R. C, Travisan, M. S and Brown, A. H. (2010) *Teaching strategies. A guide to Effective instruction*. Ninth Edition Wads worth CEN GAGE learning U.S.A Owolabi, T, Ogunleye A. O. and Adeyemo, S. A. (2007) Assessing students' acquisition of skills in Physics. *Proceedings of the 50th Anniversary Conference of STAN*: 216-221.

OYO SUBEB, 2009. Oyo SUBEB Success Stories. OYO SUBEB NEWS 2(80

Palincsar, A. S. and Brown, A. L. and Campione, J, (1989) Structured dialogue among communities of first-grade learners. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the *American Educational Research Association*, San Francisco, California

Palincsar, A. S. and Brown, A. L. and Campione, J (1984) Reciprocal teaching comprehension – fostering and comprehension-monitoring activities. *Cognition and Introduction*. **1**(2): 117-175.

Palincsar, A. S. and Brown, A. L. and Campione, J (1986) Interactive teaching to promote Independent learning from text. *The Reading Teacher*, **39**(8):771-777

Peter E. D., David, H., Cheri, F. T, William, D. N. and Carl, A. Y. (2006) Reciprocal Teaching for Reading Comprehension in Higher Education: A Strategy for Fostering the Deeper Understanding of texts. *International Journal of Teaching and Learning in Higher Education* **17** (2)

Pigott, H.E, Fantuzzo, J. W. and Clement, P. (1986) The effect of reciprocal peer tutoring and group contingencies on the academic performance of elementary school children. *Journal of Applied behaviour Analysis* **19**: 93-98.

Piaget J. (1967) Biologic et connaissance (Biology and knowledge) Paris, Gallimard

Piaget J. (1955) The child conception of the world, little field, Adams, Totowa, New Jersey.

Polland, A. (2008) *Reflective Teaching* 3rd Edition, Continuum International Publishing group. Great Britain

Polya, G. (1945). How to solve it? Garden City, NJ: Doubleday.

Prince, M. (2004) "Does Active Learning Work? A Review of the Research," *Journal of Engineering Education*, **93**(3): 223-231

Qualification and Curriculum Authority (2000) Standard at key stage 2, English, Mathematics and science. London: QCA

Raimi, S. M. (2002) Problem solving technique and Laboratory skills as supplements to Laboratory teaching in senior secondary school learning of volumetric analysis. An unpublished Ph.D. Thesis, University of Ibadan, Ibadan. Nigeria

Rosenshine, B. and Meister, C. (1994) Reciprocal teaching: a review of the research. *Review of educational research* **64** (4): 479 – 530.

Ross, W. E. and Hannnay, L.M (1986) "Toward a critical Theory of Reflective inquiring" *Journal of Teacher Education*, (July-August 1986). 9-15.

Saenz, L., Fuchs, L.S., & Fuchs, D. (2005) Peer-Assisted Learning Strategies for English language learners with learning disabilities. *Exceptional Children*, **71**(3):231-247.

Sampson, and Yeomans R. (2010) Analysing the role of mentors: in Andrew Pollard, (Ed.) *Reading for reflective teaching*. New York Continuum.

Chon D. (1983) The Reflective Practitioner, How Professionals Think in Action, Basic Books.

Simon, V. Irtwange and Nancy N. Agbe. (2010) Comparative analysis of academic achievement of UME and ex-Remedial students Case study of University of Agriculture, Markudi, Nigeria. *International Journal of Educational Administration and Policy Studies.* **2**(1): 09-030.

Shermen, L. W. (1991) Cooperative Learning in post secondary education: implication from social Psychology for active learning experiences. *Paper presented at the annual meeting of the America educational Research*

Slavin , R. E. (1991) Synthesis of research on cooperative learning. *Educational leadership*. **48**(5): 495 - 510.

Shaibu, A. A. M. and Mari, J. S. (1997) Gender-related difference in understanding of science process skills amongst junior secondary schools. *Journal of Science Teachers Association of Nigeria* 32(1&2): 21-27.

Staub, D., and Hunt, P. (1993) The Effects of Social Interaction Training on High School Peer Tutor of Schoolmate with Severe Disabilities, *Exceptional Children* **60**(1): 41-57

Strouse, J. H, 2001. Exploring Socio-Cultural Themes in Education. Upper Saddle River, NJ.

Tanner, L. N. (1988) "Observation The Path, Not Taken: Dewey's model of inquiring", *Curriculum inquiring*, **8**(4)

Taylor, B. M. and Frye, B. (1992) Comprehensive Strategies instruction in the intermediate grades. *Reading Research and instruction*, **92**: 39-48.

Tom, A. R., (1985) "Knowledge Resources for improving the content of Pre-service Teaacher Education" *Journal of Teacher Education*, Sept., Oct., 1985.

Vygotsky, L. S. (1978) Mind in society: The development of higher psychological process, (M. Cole, V. John Steiner, S. Scribner, E Souberman, Eds.) Cambridge, M.A. Harvard University Press

Wetzel, D. () Science Investigation Skills is Important for Problem Based learning. Source; htlp: llEzine Articles.com/?expert=David Wetzel Diewn Loard 10/19/2010

Wetzel, D. (2010) Tips for Integrated science Process skills in Lesson retrieve for http://ezinearticle.coms

Welch, A. R. (1993) Class, Culture and the state in comparative education: Problems, perspectives and prospects; *Comparative education*, **29**(1): 7-27.

Wells, S. E. (1990) At youth Identification, Programmes, and Recommendations Eagle word.co Teacher idea press.

Wolfe, J. A. Fantuzzo, J. W. and Wolfe J. A. (1984) Student-administered group-oriented contingencies: A method of combining group. Oriented contingencies and self-directed behavior and increase academic productivity. *Child and family Bahaviour Therapy*, **6**: 45, -60.

Table 1: Integrated Science Final N.C.E. Result for Selected Colleges of Education

Year	College	No	No Pass	% Pass	No failed	% failed
		examined				
2005-2006	School 1	190	42	22.1	148	77.9
	School 2	234	117	50.0	117	50.0
	School 3	206	73	35.4	133	64.6
	School 4	46	18	39.1	28	60.9
2006-2007	School 1	152	34	22.4	118	77.6
	School 2	142	67	47.2	75	52.8
	School 3	229	88	38.4	141	61.6
	School4	56	20	34.7	36	64.3
2007-2008	School 1	174	48	27.6	126	72.4
	School 2	136	67	49.3	69	50.7
	School 3	266	101	37.9	165	62.1
	School 4	48	19	39.6	29	60.4
Total		1879	694	36.9	1185	63.1

Fig. 1: Integrated Science Final NCE Result from the selected Colleges of Education Sources: Academic Records Section of Osun State College of Education Ila.

Academic Records Section of Federal College of Education, Abeokuta Academic Records Section of Emmanuel Alayande College of Education, Oyo. Academic Records Section of Federal College of Education (Special), Oyo. Key

School 1: Osun State College of Education Ila, Osun State

School 2: Federal College of Education, Abeokuta, Ogun State

School 3: Emmanuel Alayande College of Education, Oyo, Oyo State

School 4: Federal College of Education (Special), Oyo, Oyo State.

Table 2: Summary of Ancova of Post Test Achievement by Treatment, Numerical Ability and Mode of Entry

Source of varicose		Sum of square	df	Mean square	F	Sig
Covariates	PRE-ACHVT	3939.219	1	3939.219	262.297	.000
Main effects	(combined)	1751.708	5	350.342	23.328	.000
	TREATMENT	1686.517	2	843.258	56.149	.000
	MODE	1.735	1	1.735	.115	.123
	NUMUMERICAL ABILIT	63.456	2	31.728	2.113	.734
2-way interactions	(Combined)	75.086	8	9.386	.625	.757
	$TREATMENT \times MODE$	5.232	2	2.616	.174	.840
	$TREATMENT \times$					
	NUMERICAL ABILITY	41.233	4	10.308	.686	.602
	MODE× NUMERICAL					
ABILITY		37.853	2	18.296	1.260	.285
2 W I	TREATMENT, MODE.	11.500		2 902	102	0.42
3-Way Interaction	TREATMENT×MODE×	11.566	4	2.892	.193	.942
3.6.1.1	NUMERICAL ABILITY	5777.579	18	320.977	21.373	.000
Model		4145.011	276	15.018		
Residual		9922.590	294	33.750		
Total						

^{*} Significant at p<.05

Table 3: Scheffé Post hoc Tests of Achievement by Treatment

Treatment	N	\bar{x}	Treatment	reatment	
			1. Reflective- Reciprocal Teaching.	2. Reflective- Reciprocal. Peer. Tutoring	3 control
Reflective- Reciprocal Teaching	121	21.02			*
Reflective-Reciprocal Peer Tutoring	109	24.84			*
Control	65	18.89	*	*	

^{*} Pairs of groups significantly different at p<.05

Table 4: ANCOVA of Posttest Science Process Skill Score of Pre-service Teachers by Treatment, Numerical Ability and Mode of Entry

Source of varicose		Sum of square	df	Mean square	F	Sig
Covariates	PRE-SKIL	41773.420	1	41773.420	2058.886	.000
Main effects	(combined)	1416.579	5	283.316	13.964	.000
	TREATMENT	1360.388	2	680.194	33.525	.000*
	MODE	20.898	1	20.898	1.030	.311
	NUMUMERICAL ABILIT	35.294	2	17.647	.870	.420
2-way interactions	(Combined)	218.994	8	27.374	1.349	.219
-	$TREATMENT \times MODE$	71.418	2	35.709	1.760	.174
	$TREATMENT \times$					
	NUMERICAL ABILITY	112.159	4	28.040	1.382	.240
	MODE × NUMERICAL ABILITY					
		20.366	2	10.183	.502	.606
3-Way Interaction	$TREATMENT \times MODE \times$					
•	NUMERICAL ABILITY	120.664	4	30.166	1.487	.206
Model		43529.656	18	2418.314	119.191	.000
Residual		5599.856	276	20.289		
Total		49129.512	294	167.107		

^{*}Significant of p<.05

Table 5: Scheffé Post hoc Tests of Science Process Skills by Treatment

Treatment	N	\bar{x}	Treatment			
			1.Reflective- Reciprocal Teaching	2.Reflective- Reciprocal Peer Tutoring	3 Control	
1.Reflective- Reciprocal Teaching	121	57.50		*	*	
2.Reflective- Reciprocal Peaching Teaching	109	49.28	*			
3.Control	65	47.04	*			

^{*} Pair of groups significantly different at p<.05

FIGURE 1

